PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:		PCT						
see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)						
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)						
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below						
International application No. PCT/IB2004/000824	International filing date (a 11.03.2004	day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 20.03.2003						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A24C5/35								
Applicant G.D S.P.A.								
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I □ Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II □ Priority □ Box No. III ○ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV □ Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V □ Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI □ Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII □ Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Purther Action If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. □ If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. □ □ Cortain development in the propriority date, whichever expires later. □ □ Cortain development in the priority date, whichever expires later. □ Cortain development in the priority date, whichever expires later.								

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

MARZANO MONTEROSSO Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2902

10/549513

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/000824

		JC05 Rec'd PCT/PTO 20 SEP 2005						
_	Box N							
1.	With re	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:								
	a. type	e of material:						
		a sequence listing						
		table(s) related to the sequence listing						
	nat of material:							
		in written format						
		in computer readable form						
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:						
		contained in the international application as filed.						
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.	□ Ir	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional						

copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as

appropriate, were furnished.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/000824

_	Box No. II	Priority							
1.									
	⊠	☑ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).							
		translation of the e	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).						
	Conse neverti	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.							
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.								
3.	. Additional observations, if necessary:								
_	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
1.	Statement								
	Novelty (N))	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	8,9 1-7,10-11				
	Inventive s	tep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-11				
	Industrial a	applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-11				
2.	Citations a	nd explanations							
	see separ	ate sheet							

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 103 960 (BROWN ANTHONY R ET AL) 14 April 1992 (1992-04-14)
D2: US-A-4 222 477 (MOLINS DESMOND W ET AL) 16 September 1980 (1980-09-

16)

- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.1 The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): a unit for feeding filters to a filter tip attachment machine, comprising feed means (12) by which filter are introduced, a feed channel (44, 14) along which the filters are advanced and connected to the outlet end of the channel a dispensing hopper (not shown) from which the filters are released to an infeed portion of the filter tip attachment machine (see col. 3, I. 25-29); the unit further comprise an inline storage buffer (10) of variable volume interposed between the feed means (12) and the feed channel (44, 14). It must be concluded that all apparatus features in the wording of claim 1 are known from document D1. Consequently, the novelty requirements of article 33(2) PCT are not met.
- 2.2 Furthermore it is pointed out that wording of claim 1 is such that the features of the unit are also known from document D2, as well as from the other documents cited as X in the search report. Consequently claim 1 is not novel against said documents either.
- 3. Dependent claim 2-11 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see document D1-D2 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.